

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAPC) No. 183 of 2019

Nivedita Pattnaik

.....

Petitioner

Mr. A.K. Panigrahi, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to count the continuous ad hoc past services w.e.f. the initial ad hoc appointment i.e. 07.09.1979 and further seeks direction to re-fix the pay from the date of placement as lecture in senior scale, reader scale and to give all consequential benefits including the differential arrear salary within a stipulated time.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-4 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE