

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 31781 of 2022

Srikanta Khamari

.....

Petitioner

Mr. B. Mishra, Advocate,

State of Odisha and others

Vs.
.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. B. Mohanty, SC, S&ME Deptt

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.11.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties, more particularly Opp. Party No.3 to give appointment in favour of the petitioner under the Rehabilitation Assistance scheme as per the Odisha Civil service (Rehabilitation Assistance) Rules, 2020 in place of his late father namely Ballabha Khamari, as per the application submitted under Annexure-3 series, keeping in view the ratio decided in the case of ***Malaya Nanda Sethy-Vrs- State of Odisha & others***, 2022(II) OLR (SC) page-1 within a stipulated time.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-4 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same, taking into consideration the ratio decided in the case of ***Malaya Nanda Sethy*** (supra) within a stipulated time, to which learned Standing Counsel for School & Mass Education Department has no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.3 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, taking into consideration the ratio decided in the case of ***Malaya Nanda Sethy*** (supra) and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)