

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 31671 of 2022

*Manas Ranjan Sahoo*

.....

*Petitioner*

*Ms. P. Naidu, Advocate*

Vs.

*Utkal University and others*

.....

*Opposite parties*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**05.12.2022**

**Order No.**

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to regularize the service of the petitioner and to enhance the remuneration of the petitioner as per State Government Rule on 05.01.2017.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-4 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time.
5. Considering the limited nature of grievance, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.3 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Arun*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)**