

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.31538 of 2022**

***Goura Chandra Naik***

....

***Petitioner***

***Mr. P.K. Mahapatra, Advocate***

***-versus-***

***State of Odisha and others***

....

***Opposite Parties***

***Mr. T.K. Pattnaik, ASC***

**CORAM:  
JUSTICE A.K. MOHAPATRA**

**ORDER  
28.11.2022**

**Order No.**

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to allow him the grade pay of Rs.4600/- as 3<sup>rd</sup> RACP and consequent pay revision under ORSP Rules, 2017.
4. In course of hearing, Mr. P.K. Mahapatra, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to move a fresh representation highlight his grievances before the authority concerned so that the same can be considered in the light of the ratio decided by this Court in the case of ***State of Odisha and Another v. Bihari Lal and others*** (WP(C) No. 2831 of 2016 disposed of on 27.06.2016), to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of this writ petition permitting the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the authority concerned within a period of fifteen days from today ventilating his grievances. In the event the

petitioner files such representation along with the judgment passed by this Court in ***Bihari Lal*** (supra), the authority concerned shall consider the same and pass appropriate order taking into consideration the ratio decided in ***Bihari Lal*** (supra) within a period of two months thereafter.

6. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

( ***A.K. Mohapatra*** )  
***Judge***

*Debasis*

