

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 51 of 2019

Gyana Ranjan Mishra *Petitioner*
Mr. K.C. Sahoo, Advocate
-Versus -
State of Odisha & Others *Opposite Parties*
State Counsel

CORAM:
DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER
27.09.2022

Order No.
01

- This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard.
 3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to regularize the service of the petitioner.
 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.1 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration the ratio decided in the case of *Secretary State of Karnataka v. Umadevi* (3), 2006(4) SCC 1, *State of Karnataka and others v. M.L.Keshari and others*, 2010(II) OLR (SC) 982, and *Amarkanti Rai v. State of Bihar and others*, (2015) 8 SCC 265, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.
 5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this

Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7, taking into consideration the ratio decided in the case of *Umadevi (3)*, *M.L.Keshari* and *Amarkanti Rai* (supra), and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(Dr. B.R. Sarangi)
Judge

