

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAPC) No. 12 of 2019

Sushama Manjari Parhi

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.C. Mekap, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the impugned order dated 09.08.2016 and 01.09.2016 under Annexures-8 and 9.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to move a fresh representation highlighting his grievances before the authority so that the same can be considered in the light of the ratio decided by this Court in *State of Odisha and another v. Bihari Lal and others* (W.P.(C) No.2831 of 2016 disposed of on 27.06.2016.

5. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the petitioner, this Court gives liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation within a period of fifteen days from today ventilating his grievances before the authority. In the event the petitioner files such representation along with the judgment passed by this Court in *Bihari Lal* (supra), the authority shall consider and pass appropriate order taking into consideration the ratio decided in *Bihari Lal* (supra) within a period of two months thereafter.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)