

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OA) No. 669 of 2019

Ramesh Chandra Mahalik

.....

Petitioner
Mr. N. Rath, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties
State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

30.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the orders under Annexures-1 and 4, and to issue direction to the opposite parties to grant all consequential service benefits by treating the period of suspension as duty.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-5 series and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5 series, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE