

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 505 of 2019

Sangram Kumar Palo

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.R. Dash, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

30.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to opposite parties to grant RACP/MACP inclusive of increment and other consequential benefits.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation vide Annexure-1 to opposite party no.3 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexure-3, to which learned counsel for the state has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-1, taking into consideration Annexure-3, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)