

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.3862 OF 2017

<i>Rabindra Kumar Maharana</i>		<i>Petitioner</i>
		<i>Mr. K.R. Mohanty,</i> <i>Advocate</i>
	Vs.	
<i>State of Odisha & Ors.</i>		<i>Opposite parties</i>
		<i>State Counsel</i>

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

08.09.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to regularize his service within a stipulated period as per Annexure-6 series.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-8 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.
5. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.4 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-8 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of

production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

