

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAPC) No. 396 of 2017

*Pramodini Pradhan and Anr.*

.....

*Petitioners*

*Mr. A.K. Kar, Adv.*

Vs.

*State of Odisha and others*

.....

*Opposite Parties*

*State Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**24.08.2022**

**Order No.**

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite party no.1 to take necessary steps for appointment/posting of the petitioners as regular lady supervisor/regularize their service as Regular Lady Supervisor and to pay equal salary to the petitioners in comparison to the salary of regular lady supervisors following the decision of the apex Court.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioners have made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-5 series and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioners vide Annexure-5 series, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Ashok*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**