

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 31166 of 2022

Dr. Abinash Rout

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Das, Advocate

Vs.

*State of Odisha &
Others*

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

21.11.2022

Order

No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner.

3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

“Under the facts and circumstances noted above the Petitioner, therefore prays that the Hon’ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit the writ petition and issue a Rule NISI calling upon the Opposite Parties to show cause as to why a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs, direction/directions, order/orders shall not be issued;

Directing the Opp. parties more particularly seeking direction to the Convener, PG(Medical) Counseling Committee, Odisha- 2022 to permit the petitioner to participate in the Mop-Up round counseling of P.G (Medical) Course – 2022-23 in accordance with the terms of the Guidelines for admission into candidates for Post Graduate (Medical Courses-2022-23, in considering his representations under Annexures-7 & 8.

And/or to quash letter no.-111 dt.10.11.2022 issued by the Convener, PG (Medical) Counseling Committee, Odisha-2022(Annexure-5).

If Opposite parties fail to show cause and/or show insufficient cause then to make the Rule absolute.

And to pass such other order/orders and to issue such other writ/writs as would afford complete reliefs to the petitioner.”

4. He further submits that through highlighting his grievances, the petitioner has filed a representation vide Annexure-7 to the Writ Petition, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted petition in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(B. P. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE

sangita