

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.2627 OF 2017

<i>Nrusing Charan Biswal</i>		<i>Petitioners</i>
		<i>Mr. J.K. Khuntia, Advocate</i>
	Vs.	
<i>State of Odisha & Ors.</i>		<i>Opposite parties</i>
		<i>State Counsel</i>

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

02.09.2022

Order No.

1

1. This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to regularize his services on the ground that he has been continuing in service for a quite long period.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the petitioner may be permitted to move a fresh representation before the authority, so that the same can be considered in accordance with law taking into consideration their uninterrupted service and keeping in view the ratio decided in *State of Karnataka v. Umadevi*, 2006(4) SCC 1; *State of Karnataka and others v. M.L.Keshari and others*, 2010(II) OLR (SC) 982 and *Amarkanti Rai v. State of Bihar and others*, (2015) 8 SCC 265.
5. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the petitioner, this Court directs the petitioner to file fresh

representation within a period of fifteen days from today ventilating his grievances before the authority. In the event the petitioner files such representation annexing this order and the copies of the aforesaid judgments, the authority shall consider and pass appropriate order taking into consideration their uninterrupted service and keeping in view the ratio decided in *Umadevi, M.L. Keshari & Amarkant Rai* (supra) within a period of four months thereafter.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

