

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 30861 of 2022

Bighnaraj Gouda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.K. Khuntia, Advocate,

Vs.

State of Odisha and another

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. M. Balabantaray, SC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

25.11.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties, especially opposite parties 2 and 4 to issue appointment order under the Rehabilitation Assistance Rules and to allow him to draw and disburse his salary.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made a representation to the opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-5 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, to which learned Standing Counsel for the School & Mass Education Department has no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.4 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)