

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC(OAC) No.4415 of 2011

Sandhyarani Satpathy

....

Petitioner

Mr. P.K. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.N. Mohapatra,

Standing Counsel for S & ME.

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K. MOHAPATRA

ORDER

15.02.2022

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. None appears for the Petitioner when the matter is called.
3. Heard Mr. S.N. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the State.
4. On perusal of the prayer made in the writ petition and the relief sought for, it appears that the same must have been redressed by now because in the meantime several times have been passed.
5. It is also seen that the Petitioner must have retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation. Therefore, relief sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous with the passage of time.
6. In such view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, the Petitioner is given liberty to revive this application by filing an appropriate petition in the event the cause of action still survives.
7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

