

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 30803 of 2022

*Harekrushna Pani*

.....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. P.K. Das, Advocate*

Vs.

*Secretary Higher Education, Odisha  
and others*

.....

*Opposite Parties*

*State Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**06.12.2022**

**Order No.**

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to recalculate and revise the salary of the petitioner and release arrear salary as per the Government guidelines.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-11 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-11 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Arun*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**