

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 556 of 2011

<i>Renuka Mohapatra</i>		<i>Petitioner</i>
		<i>Mr. P. Priyambada, Advocate</i>
	Vs.	
<i>State of Odisha and others</i>		<i>Opposite parties</i>

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

10.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to fix the rate of interest and pay the same for such delayed payment of retirement benefit.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioners have made a representation to opposite party No.3 vide Annexure-18 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-13, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-18, taking into consideration Annexure-13, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified/authenticated copy this order.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)