

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 2701 of 2017

Prasanna Kumar Pradhan

.....

Petitioner

Ms. B. Patnaik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S. Rath, ASC

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

05.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to the Opposite Parties to count the contractual services of the Petitioner followed by regular service towards seniority and accordingly include his name in the appropriate place of gradation list.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Arun