

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 573 of 2017

*Gayatri Naik*

.....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. H.S. Sahu, Adv.*

Vs.

*State of Odisha and others*

.....

*Opposite Parties*

*State Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**25.08.2022**

**Order No.**

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order dated 24.12.2016 under Annexure-6 and to issue direction to the opposite parties to regularize her service from the date of her initial appointment with all consequential service and financial benefits within a stipulated time.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.4 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Ashok*

**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**