

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 1895 of 2011

Tapan Prava Tripathy

.....

Petitioner

Mr. U.N. Sahoo, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

22.02.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up by video conferencing mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to fix her pay in Time Bound Advancement Scale of Pay from the date of her completion of fifteen years of service in the Trained Graduate Post.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to file a fresh representation before the authority highlighting the grievances and direction may be given to consider the same within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexure-6, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of with the direction that in case the petitioner, with regard to the grievance made in this petition, files a fresh comprehensive representation before the authority within two weeks hence, the same shall be considered by the said authority and pass an appropriate

order taking into consideration Annexure- 6 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation along with certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

