

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OA) No. 1574 of 2011

*Satyanarayan Panda*

....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. M. Pati, Advocate*

*-Versus -*

*State of Odisha and others*

....

*Opposite Parties*

*Standing Counsel*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**11.02.2022**

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party no.3 to give pay protection at par with opposite party no.5 by taking the 1<sup>st</sup> appointment in the Jr. Clerk grade, within a stipulated time.
3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.
4. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Arun*

**(Dr. B.R. Sarangi)**  
**Judge**