

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.C (OAC) No.2151 of 2013

Dibyakanta Patel

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & others

....

Opposite Parties

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

Order No

28.02.2022

- 1.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition for the following reliefs.

“Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Tribunal may be graciously pleased to quash the order under Annexure-6.

And further be pleased to direct the Opp. Parties to issue an order of appointment in favour of the applicant.

Or pass such other order(s)/Direction(s) as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice;

Allow the Original application with cost.”


4. Although notice has been issued since 14.8.2013, but no counter has been filed by the State-Opposite Parties.
5. Taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a detailed representation before CDMO, Sundargarh-Opp. Party No.3 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three weeks hence.

6. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, Opp. Party no.3 shall do well to take a lawful decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by the CDMO, Sundargarh-Opp. Party No.3 be communicated to the petitioner.

7. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order passed by Opp. Party No.3.

8. With the aforesaid observation and direction the Writ Petition is disposed of.

sangita



(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge