

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.757 OF 2013

Basudev Senapati

.....

Petitioner

*Mr. B.B. Mohanty,
Advocate*

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.02.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to appoint him as MPHWS (Male) in pursuance of advertisement dated 03.08.2005 retrospectively from the date of appointment of persons (like opposite party no.4) securing less marks in the SC category with all consequential service and financial benefits within a stipulated time.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-4 series and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4 series and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

