

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WPC (OAC) No.3590 of 2013**

Chuinmani Jena

....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Swain, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S. Mishra, SC

CORAM: JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI

ORDER

10.03.2022

Order No.

01. 1. The matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. The application-petitioner approached before the State Administrative Tribunal calling in question the memorandum of charges issued by Respondent No.3 vide letter No.4502 dated 01.10.2013 under Annexure-3 and was prayed for quashing of the same. She has also sought for a direction to the opposite parties to release her pensionary benefits.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not instructions in the matter but the grievance of the petitioner might have been addressed.
4. Since the matter is of the year, 2013, by efflux of time, the cause of action, as settled out in the original application, seems to have lost its significance. The petitioner has already been superannuated as the verification column in the original application reflects that she was 59 years old at the time of filing of the original application i.e. 2013.

5. In view of such facts and circumstances, the cause of action seems to have lost its significance. The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

6. The petitioner is at liberty approach the Court afresh, if any cause of action survives.

pcd



(S.K. Panigrahi)
Judge