

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 4172 of 2012

*Dibakar Biswal*

....

*Petitioner*

*Mr. G.R. Sethi, Advocate*

*-Versus -*

*State of Odisha and others*

....

*Opposite Parties*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**18.02.2022**

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite parties to consider his case for promotion to the post of OMVD constable, within a stipulated time.
3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-9 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time.
4. Considering the limited nature of grievance made by the petitioner, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-9, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Alok*

**(Dr. B.R. Sarangi)**  
**Judge**