

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 30229 of 2022

Priyanath Panda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Baral, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

15.11.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite to sanction the family pension along with arrears within stipulated time.
4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-2 and direction may be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.
5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.3 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-2, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.
6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)