

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OA) No. 1843 of 2017

Abhisekh Mishra

.....

Petitioner

Mr. K.C. Sahu, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel.

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

02.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to issue regular appointment in favour of the petitioner modifying the contractual order of appointment from the date of initial appointment and extend all consequential service and financial benefits.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.4 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing opposite party No.4 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)