

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.30063 OF 2022

Bibhuti Bhusan Nayak

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Das, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

18.11.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the selection made to the post of initial appointee (TGT Arts) pursuant to advertisement under Annexure-1 so also the selection of opposite party no.4 to 9 in violation of the law laid down by the apex Court in ***Deepa E.V. v. Union of India***, (2017) 12 SCC 680 and ***Niravkumar Dillipbhai Makwana v. Gujrat Public Service Commission***, (2019) 7 SCC 383, and further to issue direction to opposite party no.2 to appoint the petitioner as a TGT Arts in Bhadrak district against SEBC vacancy pursuant to advertisement under Annexure-1 and grant him all consequential service and financial benefits as has been granted to similarly situated persons pursuant to advertisement within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner

states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be considered and disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

