

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC(OAC) No. 41 of 2013

Ramesh Chandra Pradhan **Petitioner**

Mr. Satyabrata Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & others **Opp. Parties**

Mr. S. Jena, SC (S & ME)

CORAM:
JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO

ORDER

24.02.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

The writ petition has been registered before this Court on 3rd December, 2021, after the original application was transferred from the learned State Administrative Tribunal, Cuttack Bench, Cuttack upon its abolition.

On perusal of the available order sheets of the learned Tribunal, it is indicated that the matter was never taken up after 05.08.2013.

The original application was filed in the year 2013 before the learned Tribunal by the applicant, who was then 57 years of age working as BRCC (Block Resource Cluster Coordinator) at Baliguda in the district of Kandhamal seeking a direction to consider his promotion from 1990, though he got promotion in 2002 to the post of Headmaster (Trained Graduate) by order of the District Inspector of Schools, Balliguda dated 06.03.2002 (Annexure-7).

RJ

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he

has no up-to-date instruction from the petitioner to pursue the litigation at present.

Learned Standing Counsel submits that the petitioner after joining in the post of Headmaster as per the order dated 06.03.2002, made a representation only in the year 2012 as at Annexure-9. It is further submitted that even the original application raising a grievance against a order of 2002 was barred by time.

In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he is unable to contact the petitioner and does not have up-to-date instruction, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition to move the authority for redressal of his grievances in accordance with law and permission may be granted to revive the writ petition for any subsisting cause of action.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed granting liberty to the petitioner to revive the same within sixty days for any surviving cause of action or move the authority for redressal of any grievances, in accordance with law.

(M.S. Sahoo)
Judge