

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC(OAC) No. 1485 of 2013

Jayanti Kumari Prusty **Petitioner**

Mr. Sarbeswar Prusty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & others **Opp. Parties**

Mr. P.K. Panda, Standing Counsel
(School and Mass Education Deptt.)

CORAM:
JUSTICE M.S. SAHOO

ORDER
05.04.2022

Order No.

02.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he has no up-to-date instruction to pursue the matter on behalf of the petitioner.
3. When the matter was taken up on 03.03.2022, after considering the matter in some detail, the following order was passed:-

"The writ petition has been renumbered and registered before this Court 03.12.2021 after being transferred, upon abolition of Odisha Administrative Tribunal, Cuttack Bench, Cuttack.

2. On perusal of the available order sheet of the learned Tribunal, it is found that the matter was never taken up after 24.03.2013.

3. Original application was filed in the year 2013 by the petitioner-applicant who was 52 years of age, while she was working as Cluster Resource Centre Co-ordinator under Kendrapara Block at Bagada in the district of Kendrapara, inter alia, seeking a direction for continuance of her posting as CRCC after completion of period of five years.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that nothing would survive after a long lapse of nine years and considering the nature of grievance

raised by the petitioner and for the fact that the period of appointment was for five years.

5. To grant another opportunity to the petitioner, list on 05.04.2022.”

4. Learned Standing Counsel reiterates the submissions on behalf of the opposite party-State as noted in the order dated 24.02.2022.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of for lack of instruction from the petitioner to pursue the matter at present granting liberty to revive the same within sixty days for any surviving cause of action.

