

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 2830 of 2012

Harekrushna Jena

.....

Petitioner

Mr. M. Pati, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

17.02.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up by video conferencing mode.

2. Mr. M. Pati, learned counsel states that though he has instruction to appear on behalf of the petitioner, for which he has already filed vakalatnama, but his name has not been indicated in the cause list.

3. In view of the above submission, the name of Mr. M. Pati, learned counsel be indicated as counsel for the petitioner in the cause list as well as first page of the brief.

4. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to allow him to continue in the post of Watcher under DLR and further seeks direction to absorb him in any Group-C or Group-D post in the department under regular establishment with all other financial benefits with retrospective effect.

5. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already made representation before opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-6, and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time

taking into consideration Annexure-5 within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

6. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner under Annexure-6 taking into consideration Annexure-5, and pass a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok



(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE