

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.C(OAC) No.1564 of 2014

Binay Kumar Rout

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

ORDER

04.04.2022

Order No

1. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition for the following reliefs.
 - “(a) To direct the respondents to regularize the services of the applicant retrospectively w.e.f 1995 as has been extended to similarly situated employees / counter parts (applicants of T.A No.56 (C) / 93).*
 - “(b) To count the service of the applicant from the year 1995 so that the applicant will be eligible for pension after his retirement.*
 - “(c) To pay the arrear dues / service benefits to the applicant from 1995 till 2008.*
 - “(d) To pass any other order / orders as would be deemed fit and proper”.*
4. Even though Petitioner has filed the Writ Petition with the aforesaid prayer, but no document has been filed showing the Petitioner having moved the Opposite Parties in getting the relief.
5. Be that as it may, considering the submissions

made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court permits the Petitioner to make a detailed representation before Opposite Party No.1 within a period of three weeks hence.

6. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, the Opposite Party No.1 is directed to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three months. The order so passed be also communicated to the Petitioner.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions the Writ Petition is disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per rules.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat