

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.3648 OF 2017

Anita Dash & Ors.

.....

Petitioners

Mr. S. Mallik, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Anr.

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

07.09.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the State-opposite parties.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the case of the petitioner is covered by the ratio decided by this Court in *Akrura Mishra v. O.U.A.T., Bhubaneswar*, 2015(II) ILR-CUT-152, therefore, direction may be issued to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the said judgment.

6. In view of the above, this Court disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite parties to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the judgment passed by this Court in *Akrura Mishra* (supra) within a period of four months from the date of communication/production of this order.

7. With the above observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

8. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.