

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P (C) No. 29451 of 2022

Lokanath Panda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. P.K. Nayak, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and another

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. H.M. Dhal, AGA

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

24.11.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard Mr. P.K. Nayak, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. H.M. Dhal, learned Additional Government Advocate for the state.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the notification vide Annexures-2 and 3.

4. Mr. H.M. Dhal, learned Additional Government Advocate raises the preliminary objection with regard to the maintainability of the writ petition as the writ petition has been filed by the son-in-law, who is not the land owner.

5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to file fresh writ petition with better particulars by the competent person.

6. With that liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

(B. P. SATAPATHY)
JUDGE