

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**WPC (OAC) No. 3901 of 2016**

***Kshetramani Rout***

.....

***Petitioner***

*Mr. K. Swain, Advocate*

Vs.

***State of Odisha & Ors.***

.....

***Opposite parties***

*Mr. J. Patnaik, G.A.*

**CORAM:**

**DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI**

**ORDER**

**17.08.2022**

**Order No.**

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order dated 01.09.2016 and not to recover the amount from the Petitioner from 01.01.2013 to 30.06.2015.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the reasons for non-extension of such benefit has been considered in the case ***State of Odisha and another v. Bihari Lal and others*** (W.P.(C) No.2831 of 2016 disposed of on 27.06.2016), to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to move a fresh representation highlighting his grievances before the authority so that the same can be considered in the light of the ratio decided by this Court in ***Bihari Lal*** (supra).

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the petitioner, this Court gives liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation within a period of fifteen days from today

ventilating his grievances before the authority. In the event the petitioner files such representation along with the judgment passed by this Court in ***Bihari Lal*** (supra), the authority shall consider and pass appropriate order taking into consideration the ratio decided in ***Bihari Lal*** (supra) within a period of two months thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

*Arun*

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)  
JUDGE

