

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No. 29200 of 2022

Ramesh Kumar Prusti

.....

Petitioner
Mr. N. Rath, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties
State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

09.11.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order of suspension dated 04.02.2019 under Annexure-3 and memorandum of charges dated 08.07.2019 under Annexure-6 and to issue direction to the opposite parties to grant all retiral benefits as well as arrear salary declaring the period of suspension to be treated as duty.
3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-14 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexure-13, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.
4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing the opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-14, taking into consideration Annexure-13, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE