

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.C (OAPPC) No.300 of 2015

Orissa Prosecution Service & Petitioners
Another

-versus-

State of Odisha & others Opposite Parties

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

Order No **ORDER**
25.04.2022

1. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioners have filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-
 - (i) To quash the rejection of the representation so also the decision of the Government and to hold that the Public Prosecutors are entitled to robe allowance as is admissible to the Judicial Officers with effect from the date of their joining.
 - (ii) To extent the robe allowance at the rate applicable and admissible to the Judicial Officers with effect from the date of Joining as Public Prosecutor.

And for this act of kindness, the applicant shall as in duty bound ever pray”.
4. Considering the submission made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Petitioners are directed to make a fresh representation before the Opp. Party No.1 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three weeks hence.
5. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within

the aforesaid period, the Opp. Party No.1 shall do well to take a lawful decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by the Opp. Party No.1 be communicated to the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

