

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OA) No. 2124 of 2016

Pratap Kishore Jena

.....

Petitioner

Mr. N.K. Mohapatra, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

08.08.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order under Annexure-5 and to regularize the service of the Petitioner with effect from the date similarly situated persons have been regularized with all service and financial benefits within a limited time.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made a representation to opposite party No.1 vide Annexure-7 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexures- 3 and 4, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7 taking into consideration Annexures- 3 and 4, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE