

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.28957 of 2022
(Through Hybrid mode)

Rourkela Shramika Sangha

....

Petitioner

Mr. Lalit Kumar Maharana, Advocate

-versus-

***Steel Authority of India Ltd.,
Rourkela and another***

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. Y.S. P. Babu, AGA

CORAM:

JUSTICE ARINDAM SINHA

JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
03.11.2022

Order No.

01. 1. Mr. Maharana, learned advocate appears on behalf of the Union and submits, impugned is order dated 14th October, 2022, rejecting his client's application with prayer to, inter alia, allow the workman to adduce further evidence. He draws attention to page 3 in impugned order.
2. Mr. Babu, learned advocate, Additional Government Advocate appears on behalf of State and submits, there should not be interference.

3. Perused impugned order. We find from the application that relief sought, of adducing further evidence, was indeed made in a manner vague. There is nothing wrong with impugned order. It does not warrant interference. We are not inclined to direct issuance of notice on the management.

4. However, we grant liberty to petitioner to apply afresh with proper particulars and request the tribunal to consider such application, if made within three weeks from date.

5. The writ petition is disposed of.

(Arindam Sinha)
Judge

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

Prasant

