

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No.3100 OF 2016

Sujit Kumar Panda

.....

Petitioner

Mr. H.P. Rath, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha & Ors.

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. P.K. Muduli, AGA

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

12.08.2022

Order No.

1

This matter is taken up by hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the Opposite Parties to extend 2nd RACP benefit in his favour w.e.f. 01.01.2013 and pay the arrear differential salary within a stipulated time.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to opposite party no.3 vide Annexure-4 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has no objection.

5. As agreed by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of

production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Alok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

