

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 3238 of 2016

<i>Rajendra Kumar Roul and others</i>		<i>Petitioners</i>
		<i>Mr. D.K. Pattnaik, Advocate</i>
	Vs.	
<i>State of Odisha and others</i>		<i>Opposite parties</i>
		<i>State Counsel</i>

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

16.08.2022

Order No.

02

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioners have filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to the Opposite Parties to regularize their services with effect from their initial date of reengagement.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioners states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioners have made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-3 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexure-5, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioners vide Annexure-3, taking into consideration Annexure-5, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.