

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 4487 of 2016

Sudarsan Mishra

.....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Lenka, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

17.08.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking direction to the Opposite Parties to regularize his service from 08.08.1992 to 31.05.1993, 01.08.1993 to 31.08.1995, 23.12.1993 to 05.07.1994 and 13.12.1998 to 11.12.2000.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-13 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time taking into consideration Annexure-11, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned Counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing opposite party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-13, taking into consideration Annexure-11, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

6. Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)