

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 28510 of 2022

Soumitry Mishra

....

Petitioner

Mr. D. Rath, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. T.K. Pattnaik, ASC

CORAM:

JUSTICE A.K.MOHAPATRA

ORDER

16.11.2022

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
3. The present writ petition has been filed by the Petitioner with the following prayers:

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit this writ application, call for the records, issue notice to the opp. parties and upon hearing allow the writ petition directing the opp. parties more particularly opp. parties No.3, 4 and 5 to cause inspection and supervise the working of the institution and performance/function of the Managing Committee as the case may be and to take appropriate action as against the erring members and to recover the public money from the offenders, for the ends of justice.

And pass any other order/orders would be deem fit and proper as the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Petitioner that although the Petitioner has filed representation under Annexure-4 Series to the writ petition before the Opposite Party No.3, but the same is still pending before

the said Opposite party and the said Opposite Party has not taken any decision as of now.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection, if a direction is given to the authority concerned to consider the representation of the Petitioner in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the submissions made by the respective parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court disposes of the writ petition at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-4 Series in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. It is needless to mention here that the representation of the Petitioner shall be considered and disposed of by passing a speaking and reasoned order. Any decision so taken on the said representation shall be communicated to the Petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter.

7. Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis