

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.C(OAC) No.591 of 2015

Suryakanta Nayak

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha and another

....

Opposite Parties

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

Order No

ORDER

5.7.2022

2. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. Heard Mr. Sinha, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. Mishra, learned AGA.
3. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 13.01.2015 passed by the Govt. under Annexure-8 wherein the Opposite Party No.1 directed the C.D.M.O, Sundargarh-the present Opposite Party No.2 to conduct de novo inquiry with regard to the proceeding initiated against the petitioner vide Memo No. 14113 dated 03.06.2004.
4. Mr. Sinha, learned counsel for the Petitioner vehemently submitted that instead of completing the proceedings the authorities are directing for fresh enquiry time and again. But the said submission of Mr. Sinha is not reflected in Annexure-8.
5. Learned AGA, on the other hand submitted that there is no illegality or irregularity in the direction contained in Annexure-8. Accordingly it is prayed that this Court may not interfere with the same.
6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court while disposing the matter directs

Opposite Party No.2 to complete the inquiry in all respect and submit the report within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order. Opposite Party No.1 is also directed to finalize the proceeding on receipt of such report within a further period of six months by following the provisions as contained under the O.C.S (C.C & A) Rules, 1962.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

sangita

