

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 28372 of 2022

Dipak Kumar Nayak

.....

Petitioner

Mr. M.K. Rath, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite parties

Mr. S. Jena, SC, S&ME Deptt.

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

28.10.2022

Order No.

01

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking to quash the order under Annexure-5 and to direct the opposite parties to allow the petitioner to continue in his place of posting until he is relieved of his duties.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievance, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.4 vide Annexure-7 and direction be given to consider and dispose of the same within a stipulated time, taking into consideration Annexure-6, to which learned Standing Counsel for School & Mass Education Department has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing the opposite party no.4 to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-7, taking into consideration Annexure-6 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the petitioner.

Arun

(DR. B.R. SARANGI, J.)