

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 2552 of 2016

Mithun Hantal

.....

Petitioner

Mr. R.K. Pattnaik, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

12.08.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite party no.2 to give appointment to the petitioner under Rehabilitation Assistant Scheme on compassionate ground within a stipulated time.

3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.3 vide Page-18 of the writ petition, and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.

4. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.3 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Page-18 of the writ petition, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

Ashok