

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C)(OAC) No.765 of 2016

Baghrai Hembram

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
22.07.2022**

Order No

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition for the following reliefs:

“In view of the facts stated in Para-6 above, the applicant prays for the following relief(s):-

- (i) *to quash the impugned draft charge under Annexure-3, treating the same as illegal unjust and bad in law;*
- (ii) *To direct the Respondents more particularly respondents NO. 1 and 2 to treat the action taken by the applicant as due and admissible in law and neither there is any violation of the apex Court order nor the instructions issued by the Central Empowerment Committee (CEC).*
- (iii) *To pass any other order/orders, direction as would be deemed fit and proper which would give complete relief to the applicant.”*

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a fresh representation before Opp. Party No.2 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of three weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, Opp. Party No.2 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by the Opp. Party No.2 be communicated to the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha

