

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.27101 of 2022

Yudhistir Meher

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
03.11.2022**

Order No

02. I.A. No.14797 of 2022

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. This is an application for correction of the order dtd.17.10.2022.
3. Considering the grounds taken in I.A., the order dtd.17.10.2022 is recalled.
4. I.A. is disposed of.

**(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge**

03. W.P.(C) No.27101 of 2022

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

“It therefore most humbly Prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit this writ application, to issue rule Nisi calling upon the opposite

parties to show cause as to why the prayers made hereunder be not allowed, Upon showing insufficient cause/no cause make the said Rule absolute, Issue writ/writs in the nature of

- i. Mandamus directing Opp. Parties to issue appropriate order confirming the service of Petitioner in the post of OAS Group-A(JB) under Rule 7 of OAS, P & DE Rules, 2014 and further direct to consider the case of the petitioner for his promotion to the post of OAS (Group-A (Senior Branch) from the date his juniors got such promotion under Annexure-10 dtd.1.10.2022.*
- ii. And/or may pass such other writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court may think fit and proper for the ends of justice.*

And for this act of kindness the Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever oblige/pray”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submits that through highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has filed an application at Annexure-6 to the Writ Petition before the O.P. No.1, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted Petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.1 to take a decision on the above noted petition taking into account Annexure-11 within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha