

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.26884 of 2022

Kartikeswar Moharana

....

Petitioner

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

20.10.2022

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

"It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to admit this writ petition, call for the records, issue rule Nisi calling upon the Opp. Parties as to why the petitioner shall not be granted 1 ACP, 2 RACP, 3 rd RACP (MACP) with effect from 5.9.2006, 1.1. 2011, 5.9.2021 @ 3 % increment, with Rupees 5400/-, Rupees 6,600/ respectively in the light of judgement of State of Odisha Vi Biharilal Barik in WPC (C) no. 2831/2016 confirmed by the supreme Court of India vide SLP Diary no. 20358 /2017, dtd.23.8.2017. Failing to show cause, showing insufficient cause makes the rule absolute.

And pass such other writ/writs, order/ orders, direction/directions as may be deemed fit and proper..

And for which act of kindness the Petitioners are in duty bound shall ever pray."


4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submits that through highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has filed an application

at Annexure-5 to the Writ Petition before the O.P. No.2, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted Petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.2 to take a decision on the above noted petition taking into account Annexure-4 series within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the Petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sneha



(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge