

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 1990 of 2018

Mahendra Tandi

.....

Petitioner

Ms. B. Pattnaik, Adv.

Vs.

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

State Counsel

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER

20.09.2022

Order No.

01.

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to the opposite parties to sent him for undergo ASI training and further seeks direction to the opposite parties to promote him to the rank of ASI from the date when other similarly situated persons have been promoted along with all financial and consequential service benefits flowing from the date of promotion.
3. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representation to the opposite party no.1 vide Annexure-8 and the same may be directed to be disposed of within a stipulated time, to which learned State Counsel has raised no objection.
4. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-8, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production/communication of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE