

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C)(OAC) No.1617 of 2018

Alok Ranjan Choudhury **Petitioner**

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors. **Opposite Parties**

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**ORDER
28.11.2022**

Order No

- 02.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard Mr. L.K. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. M.K. Balabantaray, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.
3. This writ petition has been filed challenging the order of termination passed under Annexure-3 and consequential order of rejection rejecting the prayer of the Petitioner to reinstate him in his service passed under Annexure-7.
4. Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner was so terminated because of his involvement in a Vigilance Proceeding and that too without issuing any show-cause. It is also submitted that in the meantime the Petitioner after his acquittal in the Vigilance Proceeding has been reinstated/appointed afresh in his service.
5. In view of such development which was taken place during the pendency of the writ petition, the prayer as made in the present writ

petition has become infructuous. However, Petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate application, if any cause of action still subsists.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sneha

